Stereo and Monocular Vision Guidance for Autonomous Aerial and Ground Vehicles

Centro di Ateneo di Studi ed Attivita' Spaziali Giuseppe Colombo - CISAS

Candidate: Riccardo Giubilato Supervisor: Prof. Stefano Debei Co-supervisor: Ing. Marco Pertile September 22, 2017



Università degli Studi di Padova





1 Introduction

- 2 Stereo Vision
- 3 Monocular Vision
- 4 Conclusions
- 5 Research group related activities

6 Publications

Introduction



Why vision for navigation?

- Estimate vehicle path when other sources of information are absent (GPS) or unreliable (wheel odometry / inertial navigation)
- Build a consistent 3D map of an unknown environment and localize the vehicle inside it (SLAM)
- Plan future motions and identify locations of scientific interest
- Cheap hardware and low mass with respect to the quantity of data available











Research Objective: Development of monocular and stereo Visual Odometry and SLAM algorithms that allow unmanned vehicles to perform autonomous exploration. Improving reliability and accuracy solving the following issues:

- Stereo Vision:
 - Failures for low stereo correspondences (presence of occlusions, lighting conditions..)
 - Compromise between high stereo baseline (high accuracy) and low reliability (no correspondences for close objects)
- Monocular Vision:
 - No metric scale knowledge
 - Reliability: tracking failures, scale drift



Failure mechanisms:

- Excessive proximity of the observed environment
- Obstructions of the FOV of the cameras

Good case: 44 3D matches



Bad case: 6 3D matches



Stereo Vision: dealing with failures



- Heuristic to distinguish good and bad stereo correlations
- Switch to monocular visual odometry: less baseline between views \rightarrow better performances
- Our algorithm outperforms current state of the art for stereo Visual Odometry (LibVISO2, A. Geiger et al. 2012)
- Next: Accurate uncertainty evaluation

0

2000



-2000

x [mm]

5000

4000 E 3000 N 2000

1000

-6000

-4000



Position estimation error

Monocular Vision



Why monocular vision for UAV exploration?

- \blacksquare Single camera \rightarrow miniaturization: low cost, mass and power requirements
- Improve perception of a ground vehicle by collaborative SLAM

Challenges:

- Monocular vision loses depth information
- 3D reconstruction of environment and ego-motion up to scale
- \blacksquare High FPS required to provide localization to an UAV \rightarrow efficient code
- No fully working and reliable method in literature up to now



Monocular Vision: Retrieving Scale I



Monocular Visual Odometry aided by a Low Resolution Time of Flight Camera (8x8 pixels @ 20Hz):

- Feasibility study for integrating range measurements in an RGB Visual Odometry algorithm
- Offline Visual Odometry integrating range data in a non-linear optimization of the observed map and camera poses (Local Bundle Adjustment)
- Results show comparable performances with a stereo setup



2000



Towards a real time monocular SLAM aided by LiDAR altimeter:

- Real time pose estimation and mapping (>30Hz)
- Low computational power requirements: 1 range measurement
 Completed tasks:
 - ✓ Real-time Visual Odometry using USB camera
 - ✓ C++ drivers for serial communications with the LiDAR altimeter











In conclusion:

- Monocular vision can be implemented efficiently to recover stereo vision failures
- Scale information can be provided to monocular SLAM using range sensors with a low data volume

Next:

- Uncertainty evaluation of the ego-motion computed by the stereo visual odometry algorithm with recovery
- Implementation of LiDAR altimeter in monocular SLAM
- ROS (Robot Operating System) packages development
- Implementation of the algorithms on real platforms (ground rover and UAV) and testing

MORHPEUS 2.0: Field Robotics Testbed





Mechanical Configuration

- Mars like rover designed by a team of students
- Testbed for soil sampling and for autonomous navigation in unknown environments



Stereo SLAM test

 Training platform for the astronauts during the Pangaea-X extension campaign







- Riccardo Giubilato, Marco Pertile, Stefano Debei: A comparison of monocular and stereo visual FastSLAM implementations. Metrology for Aerospace (MetroAeroSpace), 2016 IEEE
- Riccardo Giubilato, Sebastiano Chiodini, Marco Pertile, Stefano Debei: Stereo visual odometry failure recovery using monocular techniques. 2017 IEEE International Workshop on Metrology for AeroSpace (MetroAeroSpace)
- Sebastiano Chiodini, Riccardo Giubilato, Marco Pertile, Stefano Debei: Monocular visual odometry aided by a low resolution time of flight camera. 2017 IEEE International Workshop on Metrology for AeroSpace (MetroAeroSpace)
- Sebastiano Chiodini, Riccardo Giubilato, Marco Pertile, Stefano Debei: Experimental evaluation of a monocular visual odometry system aided by a time of flight camera, comparison with a stereo system. I Forum Nazionale delle Misure, 2017.
- Marco Pertile, Sebastiano Chiodini, Riccardo Giubilato, Stefano Debei: Calibration of extrinsic parameters of a hybrid vision system for navigation comprising a very low resolution Time-of-Flight camera. 2017 IEEE International Workshop on Metrology for AeroSpace (MetroAeroSpace)
- Marco Pertile, Sebastiano Chiodini, Riccardo Giubilato, Stefano Debei: Effect of rolling shutter on visual odometry systems suitable for planetary exploration. Metrology for Aerospace (MetroAeroSpace), 2016 IEEE
- Marco Pertile, Mattia Mazzuccato, Guido Pastore, Andrea Valmorbida, Sebastiano Chiodini, Riccardo Giubilato, Stefano Debei, Enrico Lorenzini: Comparison of vision system approaches for distance measurements of a tether tip-mass during deployment on high eccentricity orbit. I Forum Nazionale delle Misure, 2017.