Stereo and Monocular Vision Guidance for Autonomous Aerial and Ground Vehicles

Centro di Ateneo di Studi ed Attivita' Spaziali Giuseppe Colombo - CISAS Admission to III year

Candidate: Riccardo Giubilato Supervisor: Prof. Stefano Debei Co-supervisor: Ing. Marco Pertile September 13, 2018



Università degli Studi di Padova





- 2 Stereo Vision
- 3 Monocular Vision
- 4 Conclusions
- 5 Research group related activities

6 Publications



Why vision for navigation?

- Estimate vehicle path when other sources of information are absent (GPS) or unreliable (wheel odometry / inertial navigation)
- Build a consistent 3D map of an unknown environment and localize the vehicle inside it (SLAM)
- Plan future motions and identify locations of scientific interest
- Cheap hardware and low mass with respect to the quantity of data available











Research Objective: Development of monocular and stereo Visual Odometry and SLAM algorithms that allow unmanned vehicles to perform autonomous exploration. Improving reliability and accuracy solving the following issues:

- Stereo Vision:
 - Failures for low stereo correspondences (presence of occlusions, lighting conditions..)
 - Drift of Visual Odometry estimators for long rover traverses
- Monocular Vision:
 - No metric scale knowledge
 - Reliability: tracking failures, scale drift



Failure mechanisms:

- Excessive proximity of the observed environment
- Obstructions of the FOV of the cameras

Good case: 44 3D matches









- Heuristic to distinguish good and bad stereo correlations (n tracked 3D points)
- \blacksquare Switch to monocular visual odometry: less baseline between views \rightarrow better performances
- Our algorithm outperforms current state of the art for stereo Visual Odometry (LibVISO2, A. Geiger et al. 2012)
- todo next \rightarrow Demonstration of the effectiveness of the approach in real or simulated planetary-like scenarios



Top view of the observed environment



Position estimation error



- Red overlay: switch to monocular approach
- Uncertainty estimated using a Monte Carlo approach from inputs: $\sigma_{CAMERA PARAMETERS}$ and $\sigma_{FEATURE DETECTION}$
- Monocular approaches enhance the robustness of stereo visual odometry in presence of failure situations. However the increase in the estimated uncertainty is significant





Stereo Vision: Dealing with drift [I]



- Existing work in literature suggests that stereo visual odometry errors are biased on the landmark spatial distribution. Other bias sources are inaccuracies in camera calibration and distortion removal. We propose a machine learning approach to predict the error in a VO step.
- Predict: 6 motion parameters (3 translations + 3 rotations)
- Predictors: {landmark depth, feature distribution, direction optical flow}
- Predictors are agnostic of the VO algorithm. Only dependency is on the stereo measure



Figure: Green shade: feature density. Red lines: direction of the mean optical flow. Image from KITTI automotive benchmark

Stereo Vision: Dealing with drift [II]



Machine learning technique used: Gaussian Process regression.

- Training phase performed with Differential GPS and IMU reference trajectory and orientations
- Predicted errors are subtracted to each VO estimate
- Resulting trajectories are significantly more accurate
- todo next →: evaluation in planetary-like scenarios from datasets or simulations. Unsupervised training procedure based on SLAM techniques.



Figure: Blue line is LibVISO2 raw estimate. Red line is LibVISO2 corrected estimate from the error predictions. Black line is the DGPS reference trajectory



Why monocular vision for UAV exploration?

- \blacksquare Single camera \rightarrow miniaturization: low cost, mass and power requirements
- Improve perception of a ground vehicle by collaborative SLAM
- Single camera can be used for orhomosaics and surface reconstruction Challenges:
 - Monocular vision loses depth information
 - 3D reconstruction of environment and ego-motion up to scale
 - \blacksquare High FPS required to provide localization to an UAV \rightarrow efficient code
 - No fully working and reliable method in literature up to now





Monocular Visual Odometry aided by a Low Resolution Time of Flight Camera (8x8 pixels @ 20Hz):

- Feasibility study for integrating range measurements in an RGB Visual Odometry algorithm
- Offline Visual Odometry integrating range data in a non-linear optimization of the observed map and camera poses (Local Bundle Adjustment)
- Results show comparable performances with a stereo setup











"Scale Correct Monocular Visual Odometry using a LiDAR altimeter" (to be presented at IROS2018):

- Real time pose estimation and mapping (>30Hz)
- Low computational power requirements: 1 range measurement per keyframe

Completed tasks:

- Real-time Visual Odometry using USB camera
- Non-linear optimization of path and map including altimetric measurements
- ✓ ROS (Robot Operating System) nodes for interface and synchronization of images and altimeter
- \blacksquare \checkmark Indoor and outdoor tests





Test in RGBD Dataset with accurate ground truth (left):

- Performances on par with RGB-D Visual Odometry algorithms (*per-pixel* depth information)
- Scale consistency inclusion in optimization background reduces scale drift and increases pose estimation accuracy

45,412

Test in outdoor environments with aerial ground truth (right):

Performances on par with state of the art stereo visual SLAM









Timings are acceptable for real time operations:

- FPS > 30
- Average time for optimization 100 ms (in a second thread)
- todo next → performance optimization and code debugging for enhanced timings and lower resource usage ("spikes" in timings plot)



Figure: Timings for main computational thread (feature detection and tracking, pose estimation and map building)





In conclusion:

- Stereo visual odometry failures can be efficiently mitigated using monocular approaches
- Stereo visual odometry drift can be learned and predicted
- Scale information can be provided to monocular SLAM using range sensors with a low data volume

Next:

- Algorithm optimization, bug removal, timing improvement
- Extensive *testing* on captured and online datasets
- Integration of a *dense reconstruction* background for orthomosaicing
- \blacksquare GPU implementation of algorithm subsections on GPU with CUDA C++ programming
- Code implementation on *embedded platforms* for mobile robotics (nVidia Jetson TX2)





Mechanical Configuration

- Mars like rover designed by a team of students
- Testbed for soil sampling and for autonomous navigation in unknown environments



Stereo SLAM test

 Training platform for the astronauts during the Pangaea-X extension campaign





- LiDAR and stereo camera allow map building and autonomous navigation from SLAM techniques
- Extrinsic calibration of the stereo camera and LiDAR system





Calibration of the lidar-camera extrinsics

MORPHEUS rover with LiDAR and stereo camera



Physical simulation environment for all the rover operations using ROS (Robot Operating System) and GAZEBO7 environment:

- The simulation communicates with the user using the same commands and code structures of the actual rover
- Test autonomous navigation and obstacle avoidance software to be used onboard the rover
- Test research code controlling illumination, texture and structure of the environment



Publications



- Riccardo Giubilato, Sebastiano Chiodini, Marco Pertile, Stefano Debei: Scale Correct Monocular Visual Odometry using a LiDAR altimeter. International Conference on Intelligent Robots and Systems (to be presented at IROS), 2018 IEEE
- Riccardo Giubilato, Sebastiano Chiodini, Marco Pertile, Stefano Debei: An experimental comparison of ROS-compatible stereo visual SLAM algorithms for planetary rovers. Metrology for Aerospace (MetroAeroSpace), 2018 IEEE
- Riccardo Giubilato, Marco Pertile, Stefano Debei: A comparison of monocular and stereo visual FastSLAM implementations. Metrology for Aerospace (MetroAeroSpace), 2016 IEEE
- Riccardo Giubilato, Sebastiano Chiodini, Marco Pertile, Stefano Debei: Stereo visual odometry failure recovery using monocular techniques. 2017 IEEE International Workshop on Metrology for AeroSpace (MetroAeroSpace)
- Sebastiano Chiodini, Riccardo Giubilato, Marco Pertile, Stefano Debei: Monocular visual odometry aided by a low resolution time of flight camera. 2017 IEEE International Workshop on Metrology for AeroSpace (MetroAeroSpace)
- Sebastiano Chiodini, Riccardo Giubilato, Marco Pertile, Stefano Debei: Experimental evaluation of a monocular visual odometry system aided by a time of flight camera, comparison with a stereo system. I Forum Nazionale delle Misure, 2017.
- Marco Pertile, Sebastiano Chiodini, Riccardo Giubilato, Stefano Debei: Calibration of extrinsic parameters of a hybrid vision system for navigation comprising a very low resolution Time-of-Flight camera. 2017 IEEE International Workshop on Metrology for AeroSpace (MetroAeroSpace)
- Marco Pertile, Sebastiano Chiodini, Riccardo Giubilato, Mattia Mazzuccato, Andrea Valmorbida, Alberto Fornaser, Stefano Debei, Enrico Lorenzini: Metrological Characterization of a Vision-Based System for Relative Pose Measurements with Fiducial Marker Mapping for Spacecrafts. Journal of Robotics, 2018
- Marco Pertile, Sebastiano Chiodini, Riccardo Giubilato, Stefano Debei: Effect of rolling shutter on visual odometry systems suitable for planetary exploration. Metrology for Aerospace (MetroAeroSpace), 2016 IEEE
- Sebastiano Chiodini, Sebastiano Chiodini, Marco Pertile, Riccardo Giubilato, Federico Salvioli, Marco Barrera, Paola Franceschetti, Stefano Debei: Camera Rig Extrinsic Calibration Using a Motion Capture System. Metrology for Aerospace (MetroAeroSpace), 2018 IEEE
- Marco Pertile, Mattia Mazzuccato, Guido Pastore, Andrea Valmorbida, Sebastiano Chiodini, Riccardo Giubilato, Stefano Debei, Enrico Lorenzini: Comparison of vision system approaches for distance measurements of a tether tip-mass during deployment on high eccentricity orbit. I Forum Nazionale delle Misure, 2017.