

Dynamics and control of highly flexible structures for aerospace applications

PhD student: Laura Bettiol

Supervisor: Prof. Alessandro Francesconi

PhD course: Space Sciences, Technologies and Measurements (STMS)

Curriculum: Sciences and Technologies for Aeronautics and Satellite Applications (STASA)

XXX series



- Introduction
 - Gossamer structures
 - Background and test cases
- Membrane with external frame structure
 - Bistable tape springs
 - Mathematical representation
- Preliminary tests
 - Test 1: Elastic and damping properties of the booms
 - Test 2: Boom torques on a fixed spool
 - Test 3: Shock loading at the end of the deployment
- Numerical simulations with control system acting on the boom
- Experimental tests
 - Gravity offloading system
 - Components (electronics and software)
 - Components (mechanical and structural)
 - Results Non controlled deployment
 - Results Controlled deployment/retraction
 - Results comparison
- Conclusions







Why gossamer structures?

- Advantages:
 - Lower mass and storage volume
 - Lower launch costs
 - Lower manufacturing costs
- Drawbacks:
 - Flexibility
 - Low natural frequencies that can cause instabilities on the central body

Objectives

- Study of the dynamics of highly flexible structures
- Study of its vibrations control systems

<u>Test cases</u>

- 1. Oscillations control on the membrane with free edges
- 2. Membrane with external supporting frame. Comparison between controlled and non-controlled deployment. Simple passive damping system.



- Introduction
 - Gossamer structures
 - Background and test cases
- Membrane with external frame structure
 - Bistable tape springs
 - Mathematical representation
- Preliminary tests
 - Test 1: Elastic and damping properties of the booms
 - Test 2: Boom torques on a fixed spool
 - Test 3: Shock loading at the end of the deployment
- Numerical simulations with control system acting on the boom
- Experimental tests
 - Gravity offloading system
 - Components (electronics and software)
 - Components (mechanical and structural)
 - Results Non controlled deployment
 - Results Controlled deployment/retraction
 - Results comparison
- Conclusions



Bistable booms:

- are elongated structures made of composite material (e.g. CFRP, GFRP...)
- have low mass per unit length (e.g. 8.6 g/m)
- can be stored in a compact fashion inside the satellite
- present two well-defined stable equilibrium configurations: the deployed (unrolled) and the stowed/coiled one, with the lowest values of stowed strain energy



Membrane with external frame structure – Mathematical representation



Dynamics of the booms:

ABD matrix correlates the applied loads to the laminate strains:

1	$\binom{N_x}{N}$		_{8890.7}	75	525	.8	0	0	0	ן 0	$\left(\begin{array}{c} \varepsilon_{\chi} \end{array} \right)$
	N _y		7525.8	88	390	.7	0	0	0	0	ε_y
Į	N_{xy}	<u> </u>	0		0		7650.6	0	0	0	$\int \gamma_{xy}$
	M_{χ}	_		0	0	0		17.8	11.6	0	κ_{χ}
	M_{y}			0	0	0		11.6	17.8	0	κ_y
	$\left(M_{xy} \right)$		L	0	0	0		0	0	13.7	(κ_{xy})

where the units are N and mm.



Stability criterion for shells with no coupling between bending and twisting (the structure is bistable for S > 0):

$$S = 4 \, \widehat{D}_{66} + 2\widehat{D}_{12} - 2\frac{\widehat{D}_{12}}{\widehat{D}_{12}} = 1.30 > 0$$

Membrane with external frame structure – Mathematical representation



Approximated torque τ just before full deployment:

$$\tau = \frac{R_H \beta}{2R} \left[D_{22} - \frac{D_{12}^2}{D_{11}} \right] = 24.8 \text{ mNm}$$





Università degli Stud

DI PADOVA

Theoretical deployment force:

$$\frac{dU_b}{dL} = \frac{1}{2}\beta R \left(\frac{D_{11}}{R_c^2} - \frac{2D_{12}}{R_c R} + \frac{D_{22}}{R^2} \right)$$
$$2.15 N < \frac{dU_b}{dL} < 2.22 N$$

Energy losses due to friction, damping, microcracks and viscoelastic relaxation are not taken into account in theoretical behavior



- Introduction
 - Gossamer structures
 - Background and test cases
- Membrane with external frame structure
 - Bistable tape springs
 - Mathematical representation
- Preliminary tests
 - Test 1: Elastic and damping properties of the booms
 - Test 2: Boom torques on a fixed spool
 - Test 3: Shock loading at the end of the deployment
- Numerical simulations with control system acting on the boom
- Experimental tests
 - Gravity offloading system
 - Components (electronics and software)
 - Components (mechanical and structural)
 - Results Non controlled deployment
 - Results Controlled deployment/retraction
 - Results comparison
- Conclusions

20/10/2017

Test 1: Elastic and damping properties of the booms

- Experimental evaluation of elastic and damping properties of the boom
- Free length 1 m, fixed on one side
- Sensor: camera with frame rate = 60 frames/s
- Properties calculated with the logarithmic method:
 - Damping ratio: $\zeta = 0.16$
 - Damped frequency: ω_d=4.85 Hz
 - Natural frequency: ω_n =4.92 Hz











Test 2: Boom torques on a fixed spool

- Measurements with a 100g load cell
- Experimental forces result about 10 times smaller than the theoretical force compatible with what was observed in other similar experiments by other researchers
- Energy losses due to friction, damping, microcracks and viscoelastic relaxation
 - Irregular curve because of imperfections in the manufacturing of the boom and/or non perfect verticality during tests.
 - Experimental torque results are about ¼ of the theoretical torque.
 - The resulting torque values were used to select the motor to drive the spool in later experiments









Test 3: Shock loading at the end of the deployment

- Measurements with two 780g load cells, removing all the static components of the measurements (weight of the structure, boom...)
- Partial deployment of the boom (the last 21.5 cm in this case), with the tip suspended by a cord.
- The results are compatible with other similar experiments by other researchers on different woven materials (GFRP)









- Introduction
 - Gossamer structures
 - Background and test cases
- Membrane with external frame structure
 - Bistable tape springs
 - Mathematical representation
- Preliminary tests
 - Test 1: Elastic and damping properties of the booms
 - Test 2: Boom torques on a fixed spool
 - Test 3: Shock loading at the end of the deployment

• Numerical simulations with control system acting on the boom

- Experimental tests
 - Gravity offloading system
 - Components (electronics and software)
 - Components (mechanical and structural)
 - Results Non controlled deployment
 - Results Controlled deployment/retraction
 - Results comparison
- Conclusions

Numerical simulations with PID control system acting on the boom

With the elasticity and damping coefficients

applied it showed a very similar behavior to

the boom it damps out the oscillations quickly

one side, free on the other.

results of preliminary test 1

٠

- Fixed tip Elastic coefficient Simple model where the boom is simulated as a Damping coefficient series of masses, springs and dampers, fixed on Other 7 10-cm elements 5-ćr element F_c Free Applying a control force with a PID controller on tip elemen F_d
- oscillations oscillations with PID control 0.03 0.03 simulated non controlled experimental simulated simulated controlled 1 0.02 0.02 simulated controlled 2 simulated controlled 3 simulated controlled 4 0.01 [m] 0.0 oscillation [m] -0.01 -0.02 -0.02 -0.03 -0.03 1 2 3 0 0.5 1 1.5 2 2.5 3 3.5 Δ 4.5 5 0 4 5 time [s] time [s]

Different values for the Kp, Ki, Kd coefficients





- Introduction
 - Gossamer structures
 - Background and test cases
- Membrane with external frame structure
 - Bistable tape springs
 - Mathematical representation
- Preliminary tests
 - Test 1: Elastic and damping properties of the booms
 - Test 2: Boom torques on a fixed spool
 - Test 3: Shock loading at the end of the deployment
- Numerical simulations with control system acting on the boom
- Experimental tests
 - Gravity offloading system
 - Components (electronics and software)
 - Components (mechanical and structural)
 - Results Non controlled deployment
 - Results Controlled deployment/retraction
 - Results comparison
- Conclusions

Experimental tests – Gravity Offloading System



- Used to simulate absence of gravity
- The vertical component of the tension vector of the cords is equal to the F_g=m*g of the masses
- Length of the cables: 4.94 m
- Deployer mass (m_A) >> tip mass (m_B), $\beta_A << \beta_B$





Experimental tests – Components (mechanical and structural)





Experimental tests – Results Non controlled deployment





Experimental tests – Results Non controlled deployment



NOT AVAILABLE

Frequency (Hz)

20/10/2017

Experimental tests – Results Controlled deployment/retraction





20/10/2017

Experimental tests – Results Controlled deployment/retraction







NOT AVAILABLE	

Frequency (Hz)



- Introduction
 - Gossamer structures
 - Background and test cases
- Membrane with external frame structure
 - Bistable tape springs
 - Mathematical representation
- Preliminary tests
 - Test 1: Elastic and damping properties of the booms
 - Test 2: Boom torques on a fixed spool
 - Test 3: Shock loading at the end of the deployment
- Numerical simulations with control system acting on the boom
- Experimental tests
 - Gravity offloading system
 - Components (electronics and software)
 - Components (mechanical and structural)
 - Results Non controlled deployment
 - Results Controlled deployment/retraction
 - Results comparison

• Conclusions



- What was done:
 - Numerical simulations on a free membrane (not presented here)
 - Numerical simulations and experimental tests on a deployable structure (deployer + booms)
 - Additional numerical simulations that were not presented here

NOT AVAILABLE



THANKS FOR YOUR ATTENTION!